

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,730	09/12/2003	Abraham Gross	Q77482	4923	
23373	7590 06/16/200	5	EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M			
2100 PENNS SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20037		1725		
			DATE MAILED OCH COOL	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/660,73	0	GROSS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Samuel M	Heinrich	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
,								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)  Claim(s) 1-13,73-77,114-129,188-192,214-229 and 288-292 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) <u>listed in #4 above</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	(a)							
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-13, drawn to laser apparatus.

II. Claims 73-77, drawn to laser apparatus.

III. Claims 114-129, drawn to laser methods.

IV. Claims 188-192, drawn to laser methods.

V. Claims 214-229, drawn to laser methods.

VI. Claims 288-292, drawn to laser methods.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Apparatus Inventions I & II and Method Inventions III-VI are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:

(1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the intended use does not impart patentability to the claims and the apparatus can be used for other purposes such as for a signal means without requiring a work piece.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Apparatus Groups are not required for the Method Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Claims 1-13, drawn to laser apparatus particularly set forth in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,730

Art Unit: 1725

- II. Claims 73-77, drawn to laser apparatus particularly set forth in claim 73.
- III. Claims 114-129, drawn to laser methods particularly set forth in claim 114.
- IV. Claims 188-192, drawn to laser methods particularly set forth in claim 188.
- V. Claims 214-229, drawn to laser methods particularly set forth in claim 214.
- VI. Claims 288-292, drawn to laser methods particularly set forth in claim 288.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1725

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,730 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M. Henrich June & 2005

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725